



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/668,186

09/24/2003

Yutaka Takafuji

1248-0673P

4125

2292 7590 06/04/2008
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

MENZ, LAURA MARY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2813

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/04/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/668,186	Applicant(s) TAKAFUJI ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura M. Schillinger	Art Unit 2813	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Laura M. Schillinger. (3) _____.

(2) Robert Downs. (4) -.

Date of Interview: 5/15/08-5/20/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yudasaka.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called to because the Applicant wanted to know whether Yudasaka anticipated the claim language that layers 302 and 307 were separated vertically and horizontally- the Examiner explained that the layers were both separated vertically and horizontally; Applicant called again to say that the Applicant intended to amend the claim language to include that the nonsingle crystal layer was the first layer formed over the substrate.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Laura M Schillinger/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2813

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required